

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/735,276 | SYLVESTER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Peter Szekely | 1714 | |

All Participants:

(1) Peter Szekely.

(2) Robert Buyon.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 December 2005

Time: 2:40 p.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 4, 8 and 10.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Crumb rubber will be changed to recycled vulcanized particular rubber in claims 1 and 10, rubber will be deleted from claim 8 and at least about 225 will be changed to about 300 in claim 4.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)